

Local effects of global gravitation

Jakob Lamey and Gustav M. Obermair

*Department of Physics University of Regensburg D-93040 Regensburg, Germany **

(Dated: 28 November 2005)

Abstract

In what respect does terrestrial physics reflect the two unique features of the global gravitational field: its infinite range and its equivalence to spacetime curvature? We quote the evidence that true irreversibility, i.e. the growth of the Boltzmann entropy of any finite system, is the consequence of the global state of the gravitation dominated and expanding universe. Moreover, as another example, we calculate the effect of global expansion and of the gravitational potential observed in our Local Group on space-time metric in terms of curvature. Surprisingly, we find an energy density T^{00} which is in numerical agreement with the purely quantum theoretical result for the Casimir energy density containing Planck's constant.

*Electronic address: jakob.lamey@physik.uni-regensburg.de

I. INTRODUCTION

Exploring the dynamics of our solar system in the seventeenth century and the discovery of its governing principle - the universal law of gravitation - marks the beginning of modern science and has paved the way towards enlightenment. Yet even 300 years after Newton and 90 years after Einstein's general relativity we seem still to be just at the beginning of gravitational physics and still search for the connection between our theories of the quantum dominated micro-world and the gravitation dominated universe. At least in one case - that of the cosmological constant - the astronomically observed value is in horrendous discrepancy with predictions from micro-physics [1, 2]. If Newton himself would have tried to grasp as a total whole what was observable in the universe at his time, perhaps today we would still wait for the knowledge about gravity we learned from him. And many new discoveries would not have been made.

For the present understanding of gravitation a basic discovery is that of physical fields having a reality of their own. It freed us from the perception of an absolute space devoid of any interaction - a global inertial system. What Einstein wrote in 1953 is valid until today [3]: "Another way to overcome the inertial system than by field theory no one has found so far." In this paper we take the existence and properties of the global gravitational field for granted: its infinite range and the curvature induced by - or rather equivalent to - it and look for local manifestations of these properties. In Section II this is done in the context of statistical physics along an evidence not often found in textbooks on statistical mechanics. In Section III we discuss large scale observations and their quantum physical relevance. The values of these large scale observations are used in Section IV to express quantum physical orders of magnitudes solely by the field theory of gravitation - Einstein's general relativity.

II. GRAVITATION AND THE SECOND LAW

The impact of gravitation on the statistical behavior of local, e.g. terrestrial macro-systems can be seen as follows: The *statistical* or Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy $-\langle \ln \rho \rangle$ is easily shown to be strictly constant in time both in classical and in quantum statistical mechanics as long as the density ρ and the average $\langle \dots \rangle$ refer to a strictly closed system. It is only the macroscopic or thermodynamic entropy of systems prepared in a non-equilibrium

state, i.e. their ability to do macroscopic work, that does in fact exhibit an often rather fast increase, even when they are practically isolated. This, however, has nothing to do with fundamental irreversibility, i.e. with a loss of order on the level of micro-states, but is due to reversible, but ergodic and mixing dynamics and to the coarse graining resulting inevitably from the macroscopic nature of all measurements.

Where, then, lies the cause of the loss of microscopic order, of true time asymmetry and of the universal arrow of time that apparently prevails even though interactions are time-symmetric? It lies in the fact that with respect to gravitation no system is ever closed. The bigger we make a system in an attempt to include its "walls", the more it is dominated by gravitation, the more open it gets: we live in an open universe. As already pointed out by Borel 80 years ago [4], moving a mass of some kg by some m on Sirius 5 light-years away would completely change the micro-state of 10^{23} molecules in a container on earth within a few minutes. The farthest boundary about which empirical science may talk is the horizon where the red-shift diverges; actio in the sense of Newton's 3rd law may go out towards it, but reactio does not come back from beyond. Low entropy states of subsystems (hot coffee in a cool room, living beings in cold space) are possible, because the one universe in which we (are able to) live started in a state of extreme order, this order slowly being sucked out towards infinity. Thus the growth of disorder observed in any finite subsystem can proceed through time-symmetric interactions, not because this is a law of nature but because it is a property of the very special state of our universe. This insight is certainly not completely new, but seems to find its way only very slowly into statistical mechanics textbooks.

III. EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE AND LARGE SCALE OBSERVATIONS

Does the present state of our universe produce a gravitational environment, which has not been quantified in terms of field theory so far? Indeed, two large scale astronomical observations can be connected via the specific quality of the gravitational field, the equivalence principle. The first is the observation of Hubble expansion being accelerated globally, the second is the observation of the Local Group being accelerated locally. If according to the equivalence principle a uniform acceleration locally corresponds to a constant homogeneous gravitational field, the accelerated Hubble expansion must have a gravitational counterpart. This gravitational counterpart might be given by the gravitational potential which is re-

sponsible for the acceleration of the Local Group. The absolute value of such a potential ϕ in the solar system can be estimated from astronomical observations [5, 6] to be about $\phi \approx 10^{-5}$ in geometric units. The scales are such that ϕ is constant in space through the entire solar system up to 10^{-11} . The absolute value of ϕ lies well above the value of the potential of the sun on earth and well above linear approximations of general relativity [7].

The idea that this potential might get significance in quantum physics has been pushed forward by Ahluwalia in a seminal paper [8]. Similar to the Aharonov-Bohm effect [9] showing in the case of electromagnetic interaction that it is quantum physics which in contrast to classical physics is able to detect even a constant potential itself, quantum physics should also be able to detect a regionally constant potential in the case of gravitational interaction. This argument is underlined in [8] by the fact that the gradient of ϕ is practically zero through the entire solar system. In [8] the role of ϕ in non-relativistic quantum mechanics is discussed and its effect on neutrino oscillations is estimated. In both cases a linear, weak field approximation of general relativity is used implying a flat background. Here, we discuss the physical significance of ϕ from a general relativistic viewpoint, because its high absolute value makes a mathematical treatment in a flat inertial background questionable.

IV. GRAVITATION AND LOCAL CURVATURE

According to general relativity a gravitational field acts as curvature of spacetime. If there is no significant spatial variation of the field within the solar system, it is only temporal variation, which remains in order to make such a potential accessible to differential geometry in a spacetime approach. This temporal variation is given by the Hubble expansion. Indeed, as is shown in [10], the present order of magnitude of the Hubble constant and that of such a gravitational potential fit together surprisingly well in fairly simple curvature calculations. Here we do the calculations without any reference to a specific cosmological model, especially without use of the deceleration parameter q_0 .

The potential gains time dependence by the scale factor of the Hubble expansion,

$$\phi(t) = -\frac{r_g}{l(t)} \text{ and } \dot{\phi}(t) = \frac{r_g}{l(t)} \frac{\dot{l}(t)}{l(t)} = -\phi(t)H(t), \quad (1)$$

where $r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2}$ denotes the gravitational radius and $H(t) = \frac{\dot{l}(t)}{l(t)}$ the Hubble function. Then

a purely time-dependent spacetime metric can be constructed

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-A(t), B(t), B(t), B(t)),$$

where $A = 1 + \phi(t)$ and $B = 1 - \phi(t)$. A straightforward calculation of the G^{00} -component of the Einstein curvature tensor $G^{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$G^{00} = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{\dot{B}(t)^2}{A(t)^2 B(t)^2}. \quad (2)$$

(The negative sign is due to a contraction of the curvature tensor on the first and the forth index.) According to the Einstein field equations,

$$G^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

G^{00} is equivalent to an energy density T^{00}

$$T^{00} = -\frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{\dot{B}(t)^2}{A(t)^2 B(t)^2}, \quad (4)$$

which can be evaluated at our epoch $t = t_0$ as follows. We use $\dot{\phi}(t)$ given in (1), the present value of the Hubble constant $H(t_0) = \frac{1}{1.3 \times 10^{28} \text{cm}}$ in geometric units and an estimate of such a gravitational potential of $\phi(t_0) = -3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ as in [8], to calculate T^{00} in (4) to be:

$$T^{00} = -0.06 \frac{2.6 \times 10^{-66}}{\text{cm}^2}. \quad (5)$$

This lies quite near at the Casimir energy density $\epsilon_C = -\frac{\pi^2 \hbar c}{240 d^4}$ [11, 12] for a unit area and a distance $d = 1\text{cm}$ written in geometric units, where Planck's constant $\hbar$ becomes $\hbar^{gu} = 2.6 \times 10^{-66} \text{cm}^2$ and c is put equal to one,

$$\epsilon_C^{gu} = -0.04 \frac{2.6 \times 10^{-66}}{\text{cm}^2}. \quad (6)$$

Although this calculation does not display the d^{-4} dependence, typical for the Casimir force, it points to a connection between a gravitational environment described solely in terms of curvature by the field theory of gravitation, i.e. general relativity, and a micro-physical quantity containing Planck's constant. It should also be pointed out that this calculation uses a part of the nonlinear Einstein field equations, which is either often gauged away in calculations implying flat background or is taken as instantaneous constraint rather than representing a real field.

V. CONCLUSION

The result allows the speculation that Planck's constant might indeed be connected to gravitational effects. It seems that our great teacher Albert Einstein also was on the inside track in searching for field theoretic explanations of quantum physical phenomena rather than spooky ones - a fact not too often discussed in the celebrated Einstein year 2005.

Acknowledgments

J.L. gratefully acknowledges that his work has been supported by the Hans Böckler Foundation.

-
- [1] R.J. Adler, B. Casey, and O.C. Jacob "Vacuum catastrophe: An elementary exposition of the cosmological constant problem," Am. J. Phys. **63** 7, 620-625 (1995).
 - [2] A comprehensive discussion of the problem of the cosmological constant invoking new astronomical observations can be found in S.M. Carroll "The cosmological constant," Living Rev. Relativity **4**, 1 (2001), astro-ph/0004075.
 - [3] A. Einstein, Preface to M. Jammer *Concepts of space* (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1954) p.XV.
 - [4] H.D. Zeh, *The Physical Basis of The Direction of Time* (Springer, Berlin, 2001) fourth edition, p. 53.
 - [5] D. Lyndon-Bell, S.M. Faber, D. Burstein, R.L. Davies, A. Dressler, R.J. Terlevich and G. Wegner, "Spectroscopy and photometry of elliptical galaxies.V. Galaxy streaming toward the new supergalactic center," ApJ. **326**, 19-49 (1988).
 - [6] J.L. Tonry, J.P. Blakeslee, E.A. Ahjar, and A. Dressler, "The surface brightness fluctuation survey of galaxy distances. II. Local and large scale flows," ApJ. **530**, 625-651 (2000).
 - [7] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1973) pp. 435-442 and pp. 1068-1074.
 - [8] D.V. Ahluwalia, "Can general-relativistic description of gravitation be considered complete?" Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 1393-1400 (1998), gr-qc/9805067.

- [9] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, "Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory," Phys. Rev. **115**, 485-491 (1959).
- [10] J. Lamey and G.M. Obermair, "Quantum physical relevance of the Einstein tensor," Braz. J. Phys. **35**, 439-441 (2005), gr-qc/0502105.
- [11] H.B. G. Casimir, "On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates," Proc. Sect. Sci. **51**, 793-795 (1948).
- [12] For a recent comprehensive discussion of experimental verification of the Casimir effect see R.S. Decca, D. Lopez, E. Fischbach, G.L. Klimchitskaya, D.E. Krause, and V.M. Mostepanenko, "Precise comparison of theory and new experiment for the Casimir force leads to stronger constraints on thermal quantum effects and long-range interactions" Ann. Phys. **318**, 37-80 (2005), quant-ph/0503105 and for a recent clarifying theoretical discussion see R.L. Jaffe, "Casimir effect and the quantum vacuum," Phys. Rev. D. **72** 021301 (2005), hep-th/0503158.